

The ALTL White Paper:

The guardian of trust.

Witmeer team
paper@witmeer.io

January 13, 2024
Version 0.3.5

Abstract

Bitcoin[1] was born with revolution which opened a new horizon of currency issuance that becomes open and fair by a cryptography-based decentralized payment network. The underlying ledger mechanism of Bitcoin (blockchain), is capable of playing a significant role in the financial system due to its tamper resistance. The Blockchain technology will reshape Inclusive and TRCical finance, as a significant alternative system of global financial system.

Bitcoin was born 10 years ago, since then the blockchain infrastructure has been facing various challenges from technical perspective. ALTL insists on taking openness, fairness, fault tolerance, scalability as the core metrics to assess a promising blockchain paradigm, and a blockchain system achieved a desirable balance among these metrics is regarded as Classical Blockchain Setting.

BlockDAG is a high throughput framework, highlighted in fully decentralized and high security. ALTL Consensus adopts GHOSTDAG, the first BlockDAG protocol with block total ordering support, as its fundamental protocol, also guaranteed fast confirmation by another BlockDAG protocol SPECTRE. The ALTL Consensus is in line with Classical Blockchain Setting that one could join and leave the network freely by Proof-of-Work, and that miners gain rewards corresponding to their contribution respectively with the support of collaboration model of DAG ledger, the 50% fault tolerance as secure as bitcoin, robust scalability that is subjected to physical network limit. The mining algorithm is also a vital source of fairness other than consensus algorithm per se. Cuckoo Ring is a graph theory based proof-of-work mining algorithm and is practically ASIC resistant due to memory-hard calculation.

Per regulation requirements, ALTL designs a UTXO-based unique ALTL issuance scheme, which has effectively solved two main challenges: Intrinsic Value and Assets Authentication. Issuing a certain amount of assets must consume a certain amount of the native currency. Moreover, the entity must be warranted a license to issue assets.

Witmeer devises a set of specifications and protocols to be adopted in the Global Inclusive and TRCical economy ecosystem, such as wallets and miners. As for interoperability, ALTL recognizes the utilizing of cross-chain protocols to integrate various cryptocurrencies and other reliable off-chain smart contract services.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Trust is the cornerstone of an financial system, while in traditional approach, multiple unacquainted parties require a trustworthy third party to guarantee the security of transactions. However, the third party is centralized and subject to single point failure, unlikely to guarantee its honesty.

Bitcoin is an open P2P network, meaning that there does not exist a centralized server, each node can join or leave the network freely. The calculation-heavy but validation-easy Proof-of-Work consensus is designed to ensure nodes gain rewards relevant to their contribution to the network's running security, which is supposed to be fair. Bitcoin has a hash-list-like ledger to guarantee tamper resistance, this disruption has been driving tons of researches on its working mechanism. The concept of 'BlockChain' is introduced to represent this mechanism and commonly accepted. Owing to trustlessness and tamper resistance, an increasing number of applications of blockchain surge in the financial system which acts as the new driving force to reshape the financial system.

Since bitcoin's innovation ten years ago, the blockchain infrastructure has been facing various challenges from technical perspective and deviating from its essence of philosophy. Bitcoin is no longer decentralized, the top ave mining pool has controlled majority hash power and would be easy to carry on an attack if there is any reason. Miners have to join mining pools since the risk is less with the equivalent interest expectation. In other words, Bitcoin is not fair any more. Besides, Bitcoin does not scale, seven transactions per second throughput, one hour conarmation time, high cost of the transaction fee, far from promising as a global payment network.

Bitcoin needs to innovate to react its essence of philosophy. There are Countless solutions claim themselves to have been solved all these challenges. However, few achieved indeed, just trading o TRC one metric with another, like sacriace decentralization, which is the core source of security for scalability. So, what is the essence philosophy of bitcoin?ALTL has deaned it as Classical Blockchain Setting, which has deeply inspired design philosophy ofALTL network.

1.2 Classical Blockchain Setting

There are many blockchain mutants and each has its own deanition of blockchain technology.ALTL network approves bitcoin's objectives and holds the view that the following fourmet-rics as Classical Blockchain Setting.

1.2.1 Openness

Openness is an essential feature to distinguish permissioned and permissionless blockchain, which means every node should join and leave the network freely.

Predefined special roles

An open network allows different roles, in the bitcoin network, nodes could choose to be an SPV(Simplified Payment Verification) node, full node or miner with freedom, so from the protocol's aspect, bitcoin is open. Whereas in some consensus, e.g., Delegated Proof-of-Work, the block producers are elected to the chain with predefined configurations, which demonstrates limited openness in the network.

Practically closed

Bitcoin is deemed as open source according to the protocol, it is actually closed in practice. Miners have to join mining pools to minimize the risk, at the expense to lose their free will. The situation is getting deteriorating in a speedy manner as described above.

1.2.2 Fairness

Fairness means that the rewards should be consistent with the contribution in the network which is Incentive-Compatibility.

Mining Risk

The expectation of rewards between solo mining and pool mining is equal in terms of probability. The point is, their risk is considerably high - either mine a block to get a dramatically high reward or wait a long time without any return. Therefore, miners have to turn to the mining pool to have a stable incentive.

Mining Efficiency

Mining cost mainly includes the electricity price and mining efficiency, and the latter is much more critical due to ASIC. ASIC is customized to direct a specific mining algorithm, so the mining efficiency per unit of cost is much higher than generalized computers. For instance, the hash rate per dollar for AntMiner S9 is about 20000 times greater than for GTX570; it is nearly impossible for a personal computer to win the hash rate competition.

1.2.3 Security

The security is how robust the network is to sustain the attack, basically referring to overrun a confirmed transaction.

Decentralization

Decentralization is the most significant feature of bitcoin to be compared with traditional payment network. Decentralization could avoid single point failure on account of the fact that it is almost impossible to collude with the majority of all nodes in a fully decentralized network.

Fault Tolerance

Fault Tolerance is the upper bound of the percentage that the network should be resilient to a certain proportion of malfunctioning nodes, and fault tolerance. In a decentralized network, 50% fault tolerance is the desirable case according to the majority law.

1.2.4 Scalable

A network that can offer relatively stable growth of services with its scale increasing is considered scalable. Blockchain network includes the following services:

Throughput

The throughput refers to the number of transactions per second (TPS) and its performance is of essential importance when network is scaling, while up to present, Bitcoin's throughput is upper bound to 7 TPS despite of how many nodes are available there in the network, which limits itself to act as the global payment network.

Confirmation

The confirmation is the time that the recipient to wait until the transaction is unlikely to be reversed, which should not be increased with networking scaling. Confirmation affects user experience significantly in a payment network, e.g., the confirmation time reaches the length of six blocks or one hour in bitcoin network, that is painful for users to stand.

Cost

The main component of cost is transaction fee which should be maintained in a reasonable range, since it would make payment impractical if too high, or it would be subject to sybil-attack if it is too low. With the mining difficulty increasing, Bitcoin transaction fee is getting higher and higher, and it won't be suitable to serve as a global payment network as it aims to be, up to present, the average price of bitcoin transaction fee is roughly as much as 2\$.

1.3 Specification

The ALTL network specification is designed to follow Classical Blockchain Setting. The four metrics have some intrinsic contradiction among each other, ALTL cannot achieve best in each simultaneously, instead, it finds an optimized balance, i.e., seek for high scalability based on an open, fair and secure network.

1.3.1 Openness

Proof of Work

Proof-of-Work is the most open approach to join a blockchain network since the only resource required is electricity, which is physical and owned by each of the nodes.

No Predefined Nodes

Predefined Nodes refer to special nodes defined in the protocol. Note: though there are mining pools in bitcoin, they are unexpected in bitcoin protocol. Thus, bitcoin still has no predefined nodes, so does ALTL because it is in line with bitcoin's paradigm.

1.3.2 Fairness

BlockDAG is fair due to its adoption of a collaboration model instead of competition model of blockchain.

Mining Pool Decentralization

Competition brings in mining risk of variant rewards and makes miners have to join mining pool to reduce the risk, leading to centralization. ALTL's BlockDAG based consensus is a collaboration model, the risk of solo mining would be in as low level as pool mining, so mining pools would be more decentralized.

Anti-ASIC Mining Algorithm

Cuckoo Cycle is a graph theory-based proof-of-work algorithm which prevails for ASIC resistance. ALTL adopts this algorithm to guarantee that no one has too much mining efficiency advantage.

1.3.3 Security

Security is the top consideration in the ALTL network. ALTL has achieved fully decentralized and 50% fault tolerant. Thus, there is no compromise to trade-off security with other metrics.

Fully Decentralization

All the nodes in the ALTL's network are peer nodes and are entitled to participate in consensus.

50% Faulty Tolerance

The malicious adversary has to possess 50% hash power to control the network. In ALTL Consensus, the fault tolerance is irrelevant with the throughput, it holds no matter how high the throughput is, whereas the faulty tolerance is negatively correlated to throughput in bitcoin.

1.3.4 Scalability

Qitmeer has promising performance in various aspects of scalability, such as high scalability, fast confirmation, high throughput and low transaction fee, making it running stably in a considerable long time.

Fast Confirmation

Qitmeer integrates SPECTRE, a speedy confirmation BlockDAG protocol, to guarantee fast secure transaction confirmation.

High Throughput

GhostDAG is BlockDAG protocol supporting high throughput, which could fully exploit potential scalability, merely subject to the network's physical metrics, such as network bandwidth or propagation delay.

1.3.5 Problem definition

Blockchains are ought to consider two main points as Regulation compliance in the Blockchain industry:

Intrinsic Value

Assets must have underlying value and cannot be created out of thin air. On existing ALTL issuance platforms like TRCereum[2], individuals can issue arbitrary amount of ALTL without any collateral.

Assets Authentication

The blockchain should not allow any issuance of illegitimate assets and unTRCical business. Existing blockchains are too unrestricted in the event of assets authentication.

UTXO

The Unspent Transaction Outputs (UTXO) are used to tell whether the transaction is valid. there are no accounts required in ALTL network. What users have in the network and spend are a bunch of unspent transaction output. This could come up with the balance by summing up UTXO.

The first transaction tx1 has two UTXO (green), so tx1 has $2+3=5$ coins balance.

The second transaction tx2 spends the 2 UTXOs of tx1 and pays to 3 addresses and creates three new UTXOs.

Note: now the old UTXOs (of tx1) are no longer UTXO so cannot be spent later.

Script system

The mechanism of spending UTXOs is to execute a particular script. The output stores half of the script and it has to provide the other half and combine both in order to verify if the money could be spent. The former half is called locking script, like a locked treasure box, and the latter is unlocking script, like the only key to the box.

For example, a typical instance of Pay-2-Public-Key-Hash(P2PKH)[3] Locking Script in UTXO:
OP_DUP OP_HASH160 <PUBLIC_KEY> OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG

Unlocking Script in a newly created transaction:

<Signature><PublicKey>

Combine unlocking script with locking script:

<Signature><PublicKey> OP_DUP OP_HASH160 <PUBLIC_KEY> OP_EQUALVERIFY
OP_CHECKSIG

This whole script consists two steps 1. <PublicKey> OP_HASH160 <PUBLIC_KEY> OP_EQUALVERIFY To verify if the public key in the unlocking script matches that in the locking script. 2. <Signature><PublicKey> OP_CHECKSIG To check if the signature is valid.

Colored coins and TTRCer

Colored Coins[4] is a mTRCod that represent assets on blockchain, it can leverage the tamper- proof capability of blockchain. It uses transaction script operation **OP_RETURN** to interrupt script execution, therefore, it can add protocol content to the assets after **OP_RETURN** without violating the script validation.

Locking Script:

OP_RETURN <DATA>

Moreover, the stable coin TTRCer[5] (USDT) also uses **OP_RETURN** based OMNI Layer protocol to deane the asset on the bitcoin.

Here are a typical USDT transaction and details of its protocol design

```
1OP_RETURN6f6d6e6900000000000000001f00000015c9054900
```

OP_GROUP

The **OP_RETURN** scheme is more suitable to apply on mature blockchain, since it does not change the underlying blockchain protocol and will not risk forking. However, the weakness is that miners cannot verify its protocol, so there would be some security risks.

OP_GROUP[6] is an improvement proposal of assets issuance on Bitcoin Cash (BCH)[7] from Bitcoin Unlimited (BU). Thus, **OP_GROUP** supports transfer, destroy and insurance of ALTL. Since **OP_GROUP** is an extension to the BCH script system, it is part of the BCH protocol. Thus miners can do the veriocation, which is more reliable.

The basic "colored" pay 2 public key hash script would be like:

```
OP_DATA( group address )
OP_GROUP
OP_DROP
OP_DROP
OP_HASH160
OP_DATA( pubkeyhash )
OP_EQUALVERIFY
OP_CHECKSIG
```

The main diTRCerence is simple, just adding a group address to distinguish diTRCerent groups, and other operations, such as create and destroy assets, are similar.

1.4 OP_ALTL Design

1.4.1 Overview

There is a unique ALTL named LICENSE in OP_ALTL. The relevant regulatory entities, industry practitioners with public credibility within the ecosystem are invited to receive the licenses to oversight the compliances issues that might occur along the course of mainstream adoption of the protocol. Any entity planning to issue a ALTL needs to be warranted with a license. Peers can transfer licenses as they are also ALTLs in nature. The originator must be extra careful in event of transferring in order to avoid non-compliance risk of transferring to a wrong person since the records is public and immutable.

1.4.2 License Creation

Licenses are all generated in the genesis block and distributed to C preserved committee members. One smallest unit (QIT) can represent a license, one block has M coins, one coin= N QIT, so we have M*N license in total, which is sumcient for asset issuance.

```
# C = 100, M = 10, N = 10^8 (M*N = 10 billion),
# all examples are based on this setting
# Ex1: Distribute licenses to the committee,
# M*N/C = 100 million for each member.
```

```
---
INPUTS:
  INPUT:
    PREVIOUS_OUTPUT: # (COINBASE of GENESIS)
      S: "DUP HASH160 [GEN] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
      V: 100000000000
      S: "[SIG] [GEN_PK]"
  OUTPUTS:
    OUTPUT:
      S: "[LIC] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [COMM1] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
      V: 100000000
    # --- --- (COMMITTEE MEMBER 2~99)
    OUTPUT:
      S: "[LIC] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [COMM100] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
      V: 100000000
    OUTPUT:
      S: "RETURN [DATA]"
      V: 0
```

1.4.3 Issue a License

The relevant entities must be warranted a license to issue assets. The entity can request a license from any committee member (C.M.). Once the license is granted and approved. These entities will receive a particular ALTL from the C.M. and the ALTL is the licensed.

```
# Ex2: C.M. warrant a license to the issuer (ISS),
# note the license change will go back to the C.M.
```

```
---
INPUTS:
  INPUT:
```

```

PREVIOUS_OUTPUT:
  S: "[LIC] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [COMM] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
  V: 100000000
  S: "[SIG] [COMM_PK]"
OUTPUTS:
  OUTPUT:
    S: "[LIC] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [ISS] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
    V: 1
  OUTPUT: # License change
    S: "[LIC] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [COMM] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
    V: 99999999
  OUTPUT:
    S: "RETURN [DATA]"
    V: 0

```

1.4.4 Issuance of assets

Once the license is warranted, entities can issue assets; however, they cannot set the ALTL amount arbitrarily. Instead, to issue a certain amount of assets requires converting the same amount of QITs. The ALTL network calls this process as "ALTL mint". Just like the case in reality, to mint a gold coin requires the same weight of gold qits, ALTLs need the same amount of QITs.

The arst advantage of issuance system is to guarantee ALTLs' elementary intrinsic value; thus, it would signiacantly mitigate the price auctuation. Another advantage is that ALTLs and native currency are no longer isolated islands of value, which are running in the same ecosystem and would improve the liquidity and make the whole network stable.

**# Ex3: Convert 100000000 QIT, i.e. 1 MEER,
to 100000000 units of the new ALTL,
note the license will go back to the issuer for future issuance.**

```

INPUTS:
  INPUT:
    PREVIOUS_OUTPUT: #{1 LICENSE}
    S: "[LIC] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [ISS] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
    V: 1
    S: "[SIG] [LIC_PK]"
  INPUT:
    PREVIOUS_OUTPUT: #{1 ALTL Coin}
    S "DUP HASH160 [COIN] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
    V: 100000000
    S: "[SIG] [COIN_PK]"
OUTPUTS:
  OUTPUT: # License returns to the issuer
    S: "[LIC] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [ISS] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
    V: 1
  OUTPUT:
    S: "[TOK] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [PK] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
    V: 100000000
  OUTPUT:
    S: "RETURN [DATA]"
    V: 0

```

1.4.5 Transfer of the Assets

The entities can transfer assets to each other. Moreover, the entity could transfer multiple assets within one transaction. The transaction needs to ensure the input sum of each asset equals the output sum of each asset.

Ex4: **Alice exchanges her 100 RMB ALTL with Bob's 20 USD ALTL.**

```
INPUTS:
  INPUT:
    PREVIOUS_OUTPUT:
      S: "[RMB] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [A_PKH] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
      V: 100
      S: "[A_SIG] 0X83 [A_PK]"
  INPUT:
    PREVIOUS_OUTPUT:
      S: "[USD] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [B_PKH] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
      V: 20
      S: "[B_SIG] 0X83 [B_PK]"
OUTPUTS:
  OUTPUT:
    S: "[USD] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [A_PKH] CHECKSIG"
    V: 20
  OUTPUT:
    S: "[RMB] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [B_PKH] CHECKSIG"
    V: 100
```

1.4.6 ALTL Melt

ALTL Melt is the reversed process of ALTL Mint, i.e., conversion from ALTLs to native currency. The total amount of qit is constant, either in the form of Native QIT or ALTL QIT. However, it is allowed to transform a ALTL and convert native currency. Issuers can melt their coins to reduce liquidity in order to keep the price stable, which is practical to implement stable coins. ALTL Melt guarantees the ALTLs fundamental value, just like the minimum value of a gold coin is the same weight of gold.

Melt guarantees the ALTLs fundamental value, just like the minimum value of a gold coin is the same weight of gold.

Ex5: **Melt 100 ALTL qits into 100 native qits**

```
INPUTS:
  INPUT:
    PREVIOUS_OUTPUT:
      S: "[TOK] ALTL DROP DUP HASH160 [ISS] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
      V: 100
      S: "[SIG] [ISS_PK]"
OUTPUTS:
  OUTPUT:
    S: "DUP HASH160 [COIN] EQUALVERIFY CHECKSIG"
    V: 100
  OUTPUT:
    S: "RETURN [DATA]"
    V: 0
```

2 Consensus Protocol

BlockDAG is a high throughput framework with highlights in fully decentralized and high security. Furthermore, it is a natural extension to classical bitcoin paradigm, meaning it would inherit most long time verified qualities of bitcoin and is expected stronger stability.

Qitmeer introduces GHOSTDAG as fundamental protocol to achieve high throughput linearly ordering service, with fast confirmation guaranteed by SPECTRE protocol.

2.1 From BlockChain To BlockDAG

Bitcoin does not scale due to protocol restrain. According to Nakamoto Consensus, i.e., longest-chain rule, 1 MB block size and 10 minutes block rate confine bitcoin to reach only 7 TPS theoretical throughput, regardless how great the bandwidth and how fast propagation delay is.

The most intuitive way to increase scalability is to shorten block time or enlarge block size. The reason why Nakamoto Satoshi did not adopt is because that will bring forks, as well as distracting the hash power from the main chain, thus causing security vulnerability.

GHOST protocol introduces heaviest-tree consensus to keep the forks without sacrificing security. Note that here BlockChain has transformed into a BlockTree. Since the largest subtree has concentrated the majority hash power, the security is as high as bitcoin. The main chain is the chain of blocks from the genesis to a leaf with the greatest number of descendants, other blocks are oTRC-chain blocks. Only main chain blocks contribute throughput, oTRC-chain blocks help

BlockTree has dramatically increased throughput because of the higher Block Creation Rate or greater Block Size. However, there is still a waste of the transactions in oTRC-chain blocks which should contribute to the throughput as well. Inclusive[8] protocol proposes a new data structure of ledger, where every block confirms every unconfirmed block. This improvement upgrades a BlockTree to a BlockDAG.

Through the development history from BlockChain to BlockDAG, it may indicate that BlockChain is a particular case of BlockDAG in the event of low throughput, which means both are the same in essence. As a result, it is the scalability solution whose paradigm is the closest to the bitcoin network. BlockDAG is robust since it inherits all the long-time-proved stable features of bitcoin, as well as it could scale infinitely at the protocol level, only limited physically, such as, bandwidth or propagation delay. A robust public chain is the optimal basis for incorporating further scaling solutions, such as sharding and state-channels, thus BlockDAG is the preferred scaling solution of ALTL.

2.2 GHOSTDAG

GhostDAG, formerly Phantom, is the first BlockDAG protocol supporting block total ordering. Compared to SPECTRE, it guarantees Strong Liveness that all the blocks, including dishonest blocks, will get confirmed in a definite time, making consensus protocol more robust.

2.2.1 Intuition

Here is the idea behind GHOSTDAG. Suppose that the maximal limits of network propagation delay and block creation rate are constant. It is intuitive that if nodes behave honestly, it forms a subgraph where each block has at most a constant number of forks. We denote this constant number as k . k can be calculated from propagation time and block creation rate. The subgraph is denoted as a k -cluster. The biggest k -cluster is called a blue set. Those blocks outside the blue set are called red set.

If we can traverse from block x to block y by following the parent references within each block, then we say that there's a partial order between x and y , and y is prior to x . For example in the following figure, we can traverse from block J to A through B, so there's a partial order between A and J, and A is prior to J. Note not all blocks have partial orders with other blocks. For example, there are no partial orders between B, C and D. The block set where no partial order exists is an anticone. The size of any anticone in a k -cluster is at most k .

2.2.2 Ordering

GHOSTDAG orders the DAG ledger in a way that favours blue blocks and penalizes red ones. So, if two blocks X and Y have no partial order from the graph, the one in blue set is prior to the one in the red set.

The coloring algorithm is based on a block's past set, also known as ancestors, since the past set gets axed after a block generated, the blue set will get axed as well. Therefore, each block could choose the parent with maximal blue set as its main parent. Then, repeat the process of finding main parent from the tip blocks extending to the genesis block, finally we could figure out a unique block chain that is under agreement by the majority of the network, we name this block chain as main chain. Once main chain is identified, all the blocks could form a linearly order by reference to it.

For example in figure 5, this is a 3-cluster DAG.

Figure 4: GHOSTDAG

1. Add genesis block G in blue set
2. Add B,C,D,E to blue set, since none of them has an anticone greater than 3
3. Since the blue set of H is greater than that of F and I, so add H in blue set. Add I to blue set because its anticone size is only 2; Add mark B and F in red set for anticone size greater than 3
4. turn for J,K,L . Both past set size and blue set size of J and K are the same, so currently there are two possible main chain, G-C-H-J and G-C-H-K
5. since M' s blue set is greater than that of J and L, M is added to blue set, so there is only one main chain left, G-C-H-K-M. Add J to blue set due to small anticone size then add F, L to red set due to big anticone size, now red set and blue set as well as main chain are identified
6. the order is G-C-D-E-H-I-B-K-F-j-M-L

2.3 SPECTRE

SPECTRE[9] is a BlockDAG based consensus protocol that achieves fast conarmation and high throughput with 50% attack resilience. SPECTRE has weak liveness, it guarantees fast conarmations only for honest users rather than all users.

There is a trade-off between liveness and fast confirmation, whereby SPECTRE prioritizes the latter due to weak liveness only attracts malicious users, that enables SPECTRE to be a suitable protocol for payment network. In the event malicious users launch double spending attack, their transactions are likely to be delayed indefinitely.

SPECTRE is a stateless transaction model, whereby there is no need to gain a total ordering over all the blocks. Only when two blocks conflict that a pairwise ordering is needed. SPECTRE employs a voting algorithm to decide which block wins when two blocks conflict. Suppose block x has a conflicting transaction with another transaction in block y , and also suppose that block z is voting on them with the following rules:

1. If z is in x 's future but not in y 's future, z votes for x in favor of y , denoted as $x \succ y$, and vice versa.
2. If both x and y are in the past of z , then z follows the majority votes in its past.
3. If neither x nor y is in the past of z , then z follows the majority votes in its future.
4. Both x and y vote for themselves unless one is in the past of the other.

Here's an example of how a new block (number 12 in the figure below) votes:

According to rule 4, block x votes for $x \succ y$, block y votes for $y \succ x$.

According to rule 1, blocks 6, 7 and 8 vote for $x \succ y$, blocks 9, 10 and 11 vote for $y \succ x$.

According to rule 2, block 12 votes according to its past. Since not all blocks of its past have voted, we change global view to block 12's local view, which means block 10 and 11 are excluded.

According to rule 3, block 5 votes for $x \succ y$ since the majority of its future vote in favor of x over y (blocks 7, 8 versus block 9). Note that the current view is block 12's local view and block 11 is excluded, so we cannot take its vote.

Also according to rule 3, blocks 1~4 vote for $x \succ y$.

Now all the blocks in block 12's past have voted. Block x gets 10 votes. Block y gets 2 votes. Block 12 follows the majority and votes for $x \succ y$ thus.

Confirmation Time

When a node v receives a block x , it loops to calculate the risk of the block. It accepts the block when the risk is smaller than a given threshold f . The confirmation time of block x in node v is the time since x is received by v until x is accepted by v .

The following algorithm below calculates the risk of block x in G_t^v , where G_t^v is the block DAG that v observes at time t .

```

RISK( $G_t^v, x$ )
1  if  $time\_now < publication(x)$ 
2    then return 1
3   $T \leftarrow time\_now - received^v(x)$ 
4   $G_x \leftarrow G_{received^v(x)+2 \cdot d} \cup future(x, G_t^v)$ 
5   $g \leftarrow \min_{x' \in \overline{ainticone}(x, G_x)} |future(x', G_x)|$ 
6  return  $risk\_hidden(T, g)$ 

```

The formula $risk_hidden(T, g)$ is deaned as:

$$risk_hidden(T, g) := \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \pi(l) \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} Poiss((T + 2 \cdot d) \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, m) \cdot \frac{\alpha^{(g-l-m)}}{1 - \alpha},$$

where

- d is the upper bound on the recent delay diameter in the network,
- α is the attacker's relative computational power,
- λ is the block creation rate,
- $Poiss(a, b)$ is deaned as $e^{-a} \cdot \frac{a^b}{b!}$

• x^+ is deaned as $\max\{0, x\}$,

• and π is the stationary distribution which we will explain below.

$risk_hidden(T, g)$ upper bounds the probability that block x is preceded by some attacker's block y in pairwise order, where y is published later than x .

π is actually a vector. Informally, it is the statistical distribution of how much more blocks attacker nodes have created than honest nodes have created since block x is published, which is called gap in the SPECTRE paper. $\pi(l)$ is the probability that the value of gap is l .

The value of gap changes as time goes on, forming a random walk which induces an ergodic Markov chain. Theoretically, it could be any integer ranging from negative infinity to positive infinity. In the worst case, it is always non-negative. Only when the gap is non-negative is there a risk for block x to be received less or equal votes than some attacker's block y which is published later than x , so that y precedes x in pairwise order. This is why in the formula of $risk_hidden$ the index l , i.e. the value of gap, starts out equal to 0 instead of negative infinity.

Since the random walk of l induces an ergodic Markov chain, l has a unique stationary distribution, which is π . In order to calculate π , we need to calculate the transition probability matrix of the random walk.

Suppose that the value of l ranges from 0 to N , where N is infinity in the above definition. We define the transition probability matrix as an N by N matrix T . We also denote by $\delta := \alpha \cdot \lambda \cdot d$. For all $1 < l < N - 1$, $T_{l-1,l} = 1 - \alpha$, $T_{l+1,l} = \alpha$, and for $l = N - 1$: $T_{l-1,l} = 1 - \alpha$, $T_{l,l} = \alpha$. The first column of the matrix is defined by: $T_{0,0} := (1 - \alpha) \cdot e^{-\delta}$, $T_{1,0} = e^{-\delta} \cdot \alpha + e^{-\delta} \cdot \delta$, for $1 < l < N - 1$: $T_{l,0} = e^{-\delta} \cdot \frac{\delta^l}{l!}$, and $T_{N-1,0} = 1 - e^{-\delta} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta^0}{0!} + \frac{\delta^1}{1!} + \dots + \frac{\delta^{N-1}}{(N-2)!} \right)$. π is the eigenvector of T corresponding to the eigenvalue 1, where $\pi(l) > 0$ and the sum of π is 1.

In practice, $\pi(l)$ is very close to zero when l is very large, so we can just pick some $N > 1$ instead of infinity. Therefore, the formula of $risk_hidden$ becomes

$$risk_hidden(T, g) = \sum_{l=0}^N \pi(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Poiss((T + 2 \cdot d) \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, m) \cdot \frac{\alpha^{(g-l-m)^+}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

It is recommended to calculate π with some well-tested Markov chain library such as the markovchain package in R.

The sum of series with index m seems to be a sum of infinite series. However, for $m > g - l$ we have $(g - l - m)^+ = 0$ and $\frac{\alpha^{(g-l-m)^+}}{1 - \alpha} = 1$.

Therefore, the formula of $risk_hidden$ can be further converted as below, where $Poiss_{cdf}$ is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of Poisson distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}
risk_hidden(T, g) &= \sum_{l=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^g \pi(l) Poiss((T + 2 \cdot d) \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, m) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right)^{(g-l-m)^+} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^g \pi(l) \left(Poiss((T + 2 \cdot d) \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, m) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right)^{(g-l-m)^+} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \& Poiss((T + 2 \cdot d) \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, m) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{m!}{(g-l-m)^+!} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^g \pi(l) \left(Poiss((T + 2 \cdot d) \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, m) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right)^{(g-l-m)^+} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. (1 - Poiss_{cdf}((T + 2 \cdot d) \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, (g-l)^+)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

With the converted formula we are able to calculate *risk_hidden* in numerical way. Figure 5 simulates the conarmation time on di TRC erent block rates, it show that SPECTRE could achieve 5 seconds conarmation time on block rates higher than 10 blocks per second and 10% faulty percentage, which is considerably promising.

2.4 Mining Algorithm

BlockDAG' s collaboration model provides much more fairness than the competition model of Blockchain on the protocol perspective. Every node gets rewards according to its contribution, regardless of how much hash power it possess. ALTL favors fairness over the scalability owing to the former is more in line with true spirit of blockchain. The intention of Nakamoto Consensus is fair - every node votes with electricity; however, only a small bunch of the mining pools have the odds to participate in consensus. solo miners suTRC er huge risk since they have to

wait for an uncertain time, quite long in most cases, to mine a block to cover their cost; thus anally it will have to turn to the mining pools. BlockDAG includes every miner's block, the miners have a stable expectation on their rewards, therefore it is not too necessary for them to join a mining pool.

In addition, the mining algorithm is another factor of fairness. Mining fairness refers to a certain amount of mining cost, such as electricity in POW, should derive the relatively equivalent amount of hash power. Practically, the ASIC mining rigs have much more mining emciency than their prices.

2.4.1 Cuckoo-Cycle-PoW

Proof-of-Work(PoW) is used to conarm transactions and produces new blocks, and acts as the driving force in PoW based cryptocurrencies. PoW must not enable a participant to have a signiacant advantage over another participant. That is what Satoshi proposed: "Proof-of-work is essentially one-CPU-one-vote."

However, most widely used proof-of-work algorithms, such as SHA-256, Blake2b, Scrypt, are more emcient on ASIC devices when compared to CPUs and GPUs. This can lead to ASIC owners posses a much larger voting power than CPU and GPU owners, which violates the 'one-CPU-one-vote' principle.

Cuckoo-Cycle-PoW, a graph-theoretic proof-of-work ASIC-Resistant mining algorithm, it is designed to and certain subgraphs in large pseudo-random graphs. In particular, Search for cycles of speciaed length L in a bipartite graph with M edges of N nodes. If a cycle is found and the hash dimculty is less than the target dimculty, the cuckoo cycle PoW is completed.

Edge(Node) generation

For the sake of simplicity, we deane 32 edges for the bipartite graph. We call the SIPHASH function twice to create two edge endpoints(U and V), with the arst input value being 2 * nonce, and the second 2 * nonce+1. The key for this function is based on a hash of a block header.

$$U = \text{SIPHASH}(\text{headerHash}, 2 * \text{nonce}) \mod 31 \quad (1)$$

$$V = \text{SIPHASH}(\text{headerHash}, 2 * \text{nonce} + 1) \mod 31 \quad (2)$$

where,

$$0 < \text{nonce} < 31 \quad (3)$$

it is any number between 0 and 31. Each nonce corresponds to two edge endpoints(U and V).

To throw 32 edges into a graph, randomly:

Edge Trimming

There is a special edge in bipartite graph, which is leaf edge, and could never be part of a cycle. Leaf edge has a feature that the nodes it connects must have at least one node with the degree

of the nodes being one. By eliminating leaf edge in the bipartite graph, it could greatly reduce the complexity of the graph, thus speeding up finding cycle from the bipartite graph.

- Step 1: node 0, node 3 and node 10 are one degree nodes, eliminating the edge (0,13), (6, 3) and the edge (10,9).
- Step 2: node 9 and node 13 are one degree nodes, eliminating the edge (8,9) and the edge (2,13).
- Step 3: node 8 is one degree nodes, eliminating the edge (8,11).

Cycle detection

After edge trimming, if a cycle of length L is found, we think we have found a solution to this problem. we store the cycle edges in a set and put the nonce of the generated cycle in a set and return as the result of cycle detection.

Difficulty control

The difficulty of finding a cycle in the graph is proportional to M/N . Here M stands for edges of the graph. N stands for nodes of the graph. However, the difficulty of finding a cycle in the graph change is not smooth. For crypto currencies, difficulty control must be scale in precisely controlled manner. The usual practice is that the ratio of M/N remain fixed, such as $M/N = 1/2$.

Thus in the actual use, it also adds a hash difficulty control similar to Bitcoin. The digest of the cycle nonces is obtained by a hash function, and then compared with the target difficulty.

2.4.2 Difficulty Adjustment

To keep block creation rate stable,ALTL adjust mining difficulty periodically. The adjustment rule is deviated from Blockchain due to forks of BlockDAG

Blue Set Based

Rather than based on all the blocks, ALTL adjustment depends merely on Blue blocks.

Dynamic adjustment interval

It is complicated to adjust the difficulty every fixed number of blue blocks, because different blocks have different blue sets, leading to difficulty to make an agreement on which block to be the adjustment point.

Bitcoin exploits main chain to overcome this problem. The rule is:

1. Wait until 144 blocks newer than last adjustment point in main chain.
2. Calculate the actual time, which is the time span from last adjustment point to now.
3. Calculate number of new blue blocks created.
4. Calculate the expected time of those blue blocks by multiply the number with block time.
5. Adjust the difficulty by comparing expected time and actual time.

4 Incentive system

The economic drive for the miners to work for a blockchain network comes from a reasonable incentive system. Bitcoin distributes rewards in a fully decentralized way - mining. Bitcoin concerns fairness among all the nodes, regardless how much power one owns. For miners with

strong hash power, they may strive for the block reward, which is abundant, though more competition intensive ; for those with less power, they still got the chance to share transaction fee, first come first serve.

4.1 Block Reward

The miner creates a block that includes all unconfirmed transactions and generates a transaction to a network recognized fund to himself, then adds a cryptographic solution and publishes it to the network. This is how mining works, and the self-transfer is known as a Coinbase reward, or block reward.

Block reward is considerable and incentivizes miners to compete intensively to obtain. BlockDAG paradigm is a collaboration model, therefore the threshold could be lower and the distribution fairer, however, block reward is essentially a scarce resource that requires miners with considerable hash power to take part in this game.

Blue Set Only

As clarified in Consensus Protocol section, GhostDAG protocol colors the honest blocks with blue and the dishonest with red. ALTL gives block rewards only to those blocks colored as blue, the reasons as below.

Encourage miners working actively

The network relies on miners working hard to consolidate security. Competition in BlockDAG paradigm is not as intensive as that in BlockChain, therefore we need to encourage miners working positively to better secure the network. Since working negatively has higher probability to be identified as red block, miners will work hard to avoid such situation.

Resilient to potential attack

The instinct of BlockDAG to incorporate forks makes it more inclusive than BlockChain. However, it would reduce the cost to perform an attack because even the failing blocks will be included in the ledger. Since the failing blocks are probably identified as red blocks and paid nothing, it increases the investment of attack dramatically and attacker would not carry on attacks abruptly.

To include forks makes BlockDAG more inclusive than BlockChain. However, it would reduce the cost to perform an attack because even the failing blocks will be included in the ledger. Since the failing blocks are probably identified as red blocks and paid nothing, it increases the cost of attack dramatically and attacker would be more cautious to carry on attacks.

4.2 Transaction Fee

Blue Set Only policy would consolidate the security considerably, nonetheless it brings discrimination to those miners with less hash power or worse network traffic condition. Perhaps they

are honest miners as well but still are identified as "dishonest" due to consensus protocol's limitation. Therefore, we could utilize transaction fee to compensate those less powerful honest miners.

4.2.1 Every block gets paid transaction fee

The block reward aims at security while the transaction fee is targeting more on underlying service - value transfer. So the reward policy for transaction fee cares more about fairness, if you serve the network, the network will pay you accordingly regardless how much hash power you have.

While block reward is orientated merely to blue blocks, transaction fee is paid on every blocks, even marked as red. So, miners get paid as long as they work hard. E.g., due to locality, the miners prioritize to include transactions close geographically to them. So, even if their blocks broadcast slower due to poor connectivity and eventually marked as red, they still could receive those transaction fee.

4.2.2 Weighted transaction fee

Due to asynchronous block submission, BlockDAG protocols inevitably incorporate repeating transactions, called transaction collisions. Miners tend to pack the transaction with higher fees to maximize their profit. This will result in high repetition rates of blocks, repeated transactions will not contribute to the throughput. In a fully decentralized network, nodes cannot coordinate each other to avoid collision, leaving the only option to devise a sophisticated incentive mechanism to penalize the selfish mining behaviors.

The intuitive way to solve this challenge is to share the transaction fee, and this mTRCod will make all the miners reach a Nash equilibrium that all the miners will choose transactions randomly from their memory pools. This approach will considerably reduce transactions collision; however, users would no longer pay higher fees to boost their transaction confirmation, so this strategy would damage the functionality of transaction fee.

Inspired by inclusive protocol, ALTL takes on a simpler Weighted Transaction Fee policy to balance the functionality and collision. The policy is really simple, higher transaction has accordingly higher probability to be included. E.g., if one transaction fee is 2 times higher than another, it is two times more likely to be picked from the mempool.

4.2.3 First Come First Serve

Inspired by Inclusive protocol as well, ALTL takes on First Come First Serve policy for transaction fee. The idea is straightforward, whoever is the first one to include the transaction wins the transaction fee.

However, the implementation of this idea is not as easy as expected and is not covered in Inclusive paper. By bitcoin's diagram, miners collect transactions fee in coinbase as well, at this phrase, its order is undetermined. Simply put, the miner has no idea which transaction will be the first included, the only way is to assume all the transactions will be the first and collect all the fee, but actually some of them would be identified invalid.

Qitmeer's solution to this problem is to balance this coinbase transaction when the miners tried to spend it. For instance, if a miner creates a block with 3 transactions with 0.1 meer fee each, finally identified 2 out of 3 are first included, the rest is not. When the miner creates a transaction to spend this block reward, he has to add an output pointing to a special address with amount 0.1 to burn the redundant fee.

5 Protocols and Interoperability

BlockChain is the digital infrastructure for decentralized financial system, which would evolve into a complete ecosystem in the course of reaching maturity. This session analyses the typical applications available on ALTL network and the protocols for interaction with ALTL.

5.1 Mining Protocol

5.1.1 Proof-of-work Algorithm

The mining protocol is resistant to the centralization of hash power, which enables miner utilize almost all parts of commodity hardware (GPUs, CPUs). Therefore, ALTL uses a Proof-Of-Work algorithm called Cuckoo Cycle[10], a memory-hard algorithm. This algorithm is designed to find certain subgraphs in large pseudo-random graphs. An introduction of ALTL proof-of-work can be found here.[11]

A sophisticated mining protocol could effectively resist the centralization of hash power. Therefore, ALTL uses a Proof-Of-Work algorithm called Cuckoo Cycle[10], This algorithm is designed to find certain subgraphs in large pseudo-random graphs, which is memory memory computation intensified.

An introduction of ALTL proof-of-work can be found here.[11]

5.1.2 Mining Protocol

Qitmeer supports getblocktemplate mining protocol. It make the miner to decide which transactions are put in the block. The miner send a request to the ALTL full node by getblocktemplate

RPC.

```
1 {
2   "jsonrpc": "2.0",
3   "mTRCod": "getBlockTemplate",
4   "params":
5   [
6     "' $capabilities' "
7   ]
8   },
9   "id": 1
10 }
```

getBlockTemplate return a JSON Object.

```
1 {
2   "jsonrpc": "2.0",
3   "id": 1,
4   "result": {
5     "bits": "207ffff",
6     "stateroot": "0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000",
7     "curtime": 1567323822,
8     "height": 6,
9     "previousblockhash": "50474e0a6f88f2f1aee7a0134a7be4b6e5ab6a7c9ba440b6c11c54494ca89d32",
10    "sigoplimit": 80000,
11    "sizelimit": 1310720,
12    "weightlimit": 4000000,
13    "parents": [
14      {
15        "data": "329da84c49541cc1b640a49b7c6aabe5b6e47b4a13a0e7aef1f2886f0a4e4750",
16        "hash": "50474e0a6f88f2f1aee7a0134a7be4b6e5ab6a7c9ba440b6c11c54494ca89d32"
17      }
18    ],
19    "transactions": [],
20    "version": 4,
21    "coinbaseaux": {
22      "flags": "092f7169746d6565722f"
23    },
24    "coinbasevalue": 45000000000,
25    "longpollid": "50474e0a6f88f2f1aee7a0134a7be4b6e5ab6a7c9ba440b6c11c54494ca89d32 1567323822",
26    "target": "7ffff00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000",
27    "maxtime": 1567331022,
28    "mintime": 1567323743,
29    "mutable": [
30      "time",
31      "transactions/add",
32      "prevblock",
33      "coinbase/append"
34    ],
35    "noncerange": "00000000 ffffffff",
36    "capabilities": [
37      "proposal"
38    ]
39  }
40 }
```

Then the miner start PoW using the data from getblocktemplate RPC. If it get the right ' answer' , submitting the potential block by submitblock RPC.

```
1 {
2   "jsonrpc": "2.0",
3   "id": 1,
4   "mTRCod": "submitBlock",
5   "params": [
6     "data"
7   ]
8 }
```

5.1.3 Miner Capability

TheALTL-Miner supports both solo mining and pool mining.

Solo

If the miner decided to mine ALTL without joining a pool, he would launch Solo mining mode. Solo miner connects one full node, call RPC service to mine blocks. Solo miner is recommended GPU implementation to gain better efficiency.

Pool

Qitmeer mining pools support stratum mining protocol as most PoW mining pools do. For example:

```
miner.exe -o stratum+tcp://serverIp:3177 -m YourWalletAddress.YourMachineId
```

5.2 Wallet Protocol

5.2.1 Overview

The blockchain wallet itself does not store any digital currency, and is primarily a computer program for creating digital currency transactions, tracking balances, and making it easy for users to manage addresses and private keys. Wallet software is the foundation of the whole block chain ecological development, any industry service can be realized through a block chain wallet value, block chain technology itself will reconstruct the traditional Internet business model in its own way.

Openness

An excellent blockchain public chain project should be more inclusive and open. Therefore, in addition to its own official wallet, ALTL has designed all interfaces and SDK for third-party wallet development at the beginning of development. Third party wallet institutions can use these interfaces to develop a variety of wallet programs that support ALTL ALTL transactions. Including: HD (Hierarchical Deterministic) wallet, SPV wallet, browser wallet to meet a variety of user needs.

How to create a wallet

The following is a simple wallet creation and transaction steps:

1. Generate seeder.
2. Derive private key.
3. Derive public key.
4. Derive address.
5. Monitor for outputs.
6. Create unsigned Transactions.
7. Sign Transactions.
8. Broadcast Transactions.

To complete the above operations, we need to rely on ALTL's ALTL SDK and RPC interface. The ALTL SDK is a collection of tools that integrates various encryption, decryption, and signature functions. RPC is a http-based network interface, it's easy to interact with ALTL network. We can use the ALTL SDK to develop the following functions:

1. Get block count from block dag or block chain.
2. Get block data with block height.
3. Get transaction data with txid.
4. Gets all transaction data waiting for confirmation.
5. Monitor for outputs.
6. Broadcast Txes.

5.3 Cross Chain

Qitmeer is committed to ALTLize liquidity and build application ecosystem in global Inclusive and TRCical anance Consequently the design goal of ALTL is to build up a simple and robust UTXO-based value transfer network, which prefers interoperability solutions to integrate various blockchains and applications, such as smart contract. Eventually, they will be part of ALTL's ecosystem and can interact with each other.

5.3.1 UTXO interoperability

Currently, ALTL has supported P2SH script contracts and cross-chain functions through hash-locking.

Process (TRC to MEER)

The implementation process of hash locking across the chain is:

1. Alice and Bob generate their addresses on 'MEER' and 'TRC' chains respectively;
2. Alice generates her own *Secret Key* and *Secret Key hash*;
3. Alice locks her 'MEER' ALTL into the hash-lock contract in the main chain of 'MEER'. The unlocking condition is that Bob holds the *Secret Key* or returns it to Alice after exceeding the specified time;
4. Bob checks the contract of Alice's main chain in 'MEER' and uses *Secret Key hash* to generate the corresponding contract in 'TRC'. The unlocking condition is that Alice holds the *Secret Key* or returns it to Bob after the specified time;
5. Alice uses *Secret Key* to take 'TRC' locked by Bob from the hash-lock contract;
6. After obtaining *Secret Key*, Bob takes 'MEER' locked by Alice from the hash-lock contract and completes the transaction;

5.3.2 Smart Contract Interoperability

Qitmeer completes the cross-chain transaction between the block chain assets of ALTL and other account models through hash lock Smart contract.

Smart Contract Interoperability Process (TRC to MEER)

1. Alice and Bob generate their addresses on 'MEER' and 'TRC' chains respectively;
2. Alice generates her own *Secret Key* and *Secret Key hash*;
3. Alice locks her 'MEER' ALTL into the hash-lock contract in the main chain of 'MEER'. The unlocking condition is that Bob holds the *Secret Key* or returns it to Alice after exceeding the specified time;
4. Bob checks the contract of Alice in the main chain of 'MEER' and generates the corresponding contract on 'TRC' using *Secret Key hash*. The unlocking condition is that Alice holds the *Secret Key* or returns it to Bob after exceeding the specified time.
5. Alice uses *Secret Key* to call Smart Contract to take 'TRC';
6. After obtaining *Secret Key*, Bob takes 'MEER' locked by Alice in the hash-lock contract and completes the transaction;

References

- [1] Satoshi Nakamoto. Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. .
- [2] TRCereum is a global, open-source platform for decentralized applications. <https://www.TRCereum.org>.
- [3] Pay-to-Pubkey Hash. https://en.bitcoinwiki.org/wiki/Pay-to-Pubkey_Hash.
- [4] Colored Coins. https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Colored_Coins.
- [5] TTRCer: Fiat currencies on the Bitcoin blockchain. <https://tTRCer.to/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/TTRCerWhitePaper.pdf>.
- [6] Andrew Stone. BUIP077: Enable representative ALTLs via OP_GROUP on Bitcoin Cash. <https://github.com/BitcoinUnlimited/BUIP/blob/master/077.mediawiki>.
- [7] Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash. <https://www.bitcoincash.org/>.
- [8] Yoad Lewenberg, Yonatan Sompolsky, and Aviv Zohar. Inclusive Block Chain Protocols. https://www.cs.huji.ac.il/~yoni_sompo/pubs/15/inclusive_full.pdf.
- [9] Yonatan Sompolsky, Yoad Lewenberg, and Aviv Zohar. SPECTRE: Serialization of Proof-of-work Events: Conarming Transactions via Recursive Elections. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2016/1159.pdf>.
- [10] John Trump. Cuckoo Cycle: a memory bound graph-theoretic proof-of-work. <https://github.com/tromp/cuckoo/blob/master/doc/cuckoo.pdf>.
- [11] TheALTL developers. Cuckoo Cycle POW, a Programmatic Proof-of-Work. <https://github.com/Kitmeer/qips/blob/master/qips/qip-0005.asciidoc>.